



Common Hermitian least squares solutions of matrix equations $A_1XA_1^* = B_1$ and $A_2XA_2^* = B_2$ subject to inequality restrictions[☆]

Fengxia Zhang^{*}, Ying Li, Jianli Zhao

College of Mathematics Science, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng 252059, Shandong Province, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 March 2011

Received in revised form 12 July 2011

Accepted 12 July 2011

Keywords:

Matrix equation

Hermitian solutions

Least squares solutions

Generalized inverse

Rank

Inertia

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we give some closed-form formulas for calculating maximal and minimal ranks and inertias of $P - X$ with respect to X , where $P \in \mathbb{C}_H^n$ is given, X is common Hermitian least squares solutions to matrix equations $A_1XA_1^* = B_1$ and $A_2XA_2^* = B_2$. As application, we derive necessary and sufficient conditions for $X > P (\geq P, < P, \leq P)$ in the Löwner partial ordering. In addition, we give identifying conditions for the existence of definite common Hermitian least squares solutions to matrix equations $A_1XA_1^* = B_1$ and $A_2XA_2^* = B_2$.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and \mathbb{C}_H^n stand for the sets of all $m \times n$ complex matrices and all $n \times n$ complex Hermitian matrices, respectively. The symbols A^* , $r(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(A)$ represent the conjugate transpose, rank and range (column space) of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, respectively. I_m denotes the identity matrix of order m . We write $A > 0$ ($A \geq 0$) if A is Hermitian positive (nonnegative) definite. Two Hermitian matrices A and B of the same size are said to satisfy the inequality $A > B$ ($A \geq B$) in the Löwner partial ordering if $A - B$ is positive (nonnegative) definite. The Moore–Penrose inverse of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, denoted by A^\dagger , is defined to be the unique matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ satisfying the following four matrix equations

$$(i) AXA = A, \quad (ii) XAX = X, \quad (iii) (AX)^* = AX, \quad (iv) (XA)^* = XA.$$

Further, denote $E_A = I_m - AA^\dagger$ and $F_A = I_n - A^\dagger A$. The ranks of E_A and F_A are given by $r(E_A) = m - r(A)$ and $r(F_A) = n - r(A)$. The inertia of $A \in \mathbb{C}_H^m$ is defined to be the triplet

$$I_n(A) = \{i_+(A), i_-(A), i_0(A)\},$$

where $i_+(A)$, $i_-(A)$ and $i_0(A)$ are the numbers of the positive, negative and zero eigenvalues of A counted with multiplicities, respectively. The two numbers $i_+(A)$ and $i_-(A)$ are usually called the partial inertia of A . For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}_H^m$, both $r(A) = i_+(A) + i_-(A)$ and $i_0(A) = m - r(A)$ hold.

Consider a pair of linear matrix equations

$$A_1XA_1^* = B_1, \quad A_2XA_2^* = B_2, \quad (1.1)$$

[☆] Supported by National Science Foundation of China under grants 10771073.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: mathxia@yahoo.com, zhfxia_913@163.com (F. Zhang).

where $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is an unknown matrix, and $A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{m_j \times n}$, $B_j \in \mathbb{C}_H^{m_j}$, $j = 1, 2$ are given matrices. Common Hermitian least squares solutions of (1.1) is the common Hermitian solutions of their normal equations. The purpose of this paper is to consider common Hermitian least squares solutions to (1.1) subject to inequality restrictions. In particular, we study the inequality

$$X > P \quad (<P, \geq P, \leq P) \quad (1.2)$$

in the Löwner partial ordering by determining the maximal and minimal inertias of $P - X$, where $P \in \mathbb{C}_H^n$ is given.

We shall use the following results on ranks and inertias of matrices in the latter part of this paper.

Lemma 1.1 ([1]). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}$ and $C \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times n}$ be given. Then

$$r(A, B) = r(A) + r(E_A B) = r(B) + r(E_B A),$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix} = r(A) + r(CF_A) = r(C) + r(AF_C),$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(B) + r(C) + r(E_B AF_C).$$

Some useful variations of Lemma 1.1 are given below:

$$r \begin{pmatrix} A & BF_P \\ E_Q C & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} A & B & 0 \\ C & 0 & Q \\ 0 & P & 0 \end{pmatrix} - r(P) - r(Q),$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} E_P AF_Q & E_P B \\ CF_Q & D \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} A & B & P \\ C & D & 0 \\ Q & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - r(P) - r(Q),$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ E_P A & E_P B \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} M & N & 0 \\ A & B & P \end{pmatrix} - r(P),$$

$$r \begin{pmatrix} M & AF_P \\ N & BF_P \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} M & A \\ N & B \\ 0 & P \end{pmatrix} - r(P).$$

Lemma 1.2 ([2]). Let S be a set consisting of matrices over $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, and let H be a set consisting of Hermitian matrices over \mathbb{C}_H^m . Then,

- (a) Under $m = n$, S has a nonsingular matrix if and only if $\max_{X \in S} r(X) = m$.
- (b) Under $m = n$, all $X \in S$ are nonsingular if and only if $\min_{X \in S} r(X) = m$.
- (c) $0 \in S$ if and only if $\min_{X \in S} r(X) = 0$.
- (d) $S = \{0\}$ if and only if $\max_{X \in S} r(X) = 0$.
- (e) All $X \in S$ have the same rank if and only if $\max_{X \in S} r(X) = \min_{X \in S} r(X)$.
- (f) H has a matrix $X > 0$ ($X < 0$) if and only if $\max_{X \in H} i_+(X) = m$ ($\max_{X \in H} i_-(X) = m$).
- (g) All $X \in H$ satisfy $X > 0$ ($X < 0$) if and only if $\min_{X \in H} i_+(X) = m$ ($\min_{X \in H} i_-(X) = m$).
- (h) H has a matrix $X \geq 0$ ($X \leq 0$) if and only if $\min_{X \in H} i_-(X) = 0$ ($\min_{X \in H} i_+(X) = 0$).
- (i) All $X \in H$ satisfy $X \geq 0$ ($X \leq 0$) if and only if $\max_{X \in H} i_-(X) = 0$ ($\max_{X \in H} i_+(X) = 0$).
- (j) All $X \in H$ have the same positive index of inertia if and only if $\max_{X \in H} i_+(X) = \min_{X \in H} i_+(X)$.
- (k) All $X \in H$ have the same negative index of inertia if and only if $\max_{X \in H} i_-(X) = \min_{X \in H} i_-(X)$.

Lemma 1.3 ([2]). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_H^m$, $B \in \mathbb{C}_H^n$ and $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be given, and $P \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ nonsingular. Then,

$$i_{\pm}(PAP^*) = i_{\pm}(A),$$

$$i_{\pm}(\lambda A) = \begin{cases} i_{\pm}(A), & \text{if } \lambda > 0 \\ i_{\mp}(A), & \text{if } \lambda < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = i_{\pm}(A) + i_{\pm}(B),$$

$$i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q \\ Q^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r(Q).$$

Lemma 1.4 ([2]). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_H^m$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, and denote $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$i_{\pm}(M) = r(B) + i_{\pm}(E_B A E_B).$$

In particular,

- (a) If $A \geq 0$, then $i_+(M) = r(A, B)$ and $i_-(M) = r(B)$.
- (b) If $A \leq 0$, then $i_+(M) = r(B)$ and $i_-(M) = r(A, B)$.
- (c) $i_{\pm}(A) \leq i_{\pm}(M) \leq i_{\pm}(A) + r(B)$.

Some useful formulas derived from Lemma 1.4 are given below

$$i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} A & B F_P \\ F_P B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} A & B & 0 \\ B^* & 0 & P^* \\ 0 & P & 0 \end{pmatrix} - r(P),$$

$$i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} E_Q A E_Q & E_Q B \\ B^* E_Q & D \end{pmatrix} = i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} A & B & Q \\ B^* & D & 0 \\ Q^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - r(Q).$$

The matrix equation $AXA^* = B$ and its solutions were extensively studied in the literature, see, e.g., in [3–10]. Concerning the consistency and general common Hermitian solutions of matrix equations $A_1 X A_1^* = B_1$ and $A_2 X A_2^* = B_2$, the following results are well-known, see, e.g., in [11,12].

Lemma 1.5 ([7]). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}_H^m$ be given. Then,

- (a) There exists an $X \in \mathbb{C}_H^n$ such that $AXA^* = B$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$, or equivalently, $AA^\dagger B = B$.
- (b) Under $\mathcal{R}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$, the general Hermitian solution of $AXA^* = B$ can be written as

$$X = A^\dagger B (A^\dagger)^* + F_A V + (F_A V)^*,$$

where $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is arbitrary.

Lemma 1.6 ([11]). The pair of matrix equations in (1.1) have common solutions $X \in \mathbb{C}_H^n$ if and only if

$$\mathcal{R}(B_j) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A_j), \quad r \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 & A_1 \\ 0 & -B_2 & A_2 \\ A_1^* & A_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2r(A), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix}$. In this case, the general common Hermitian solutions of (1.1) can be written in the following parametric form

$$X = X_0 + F_A U_1 + (F_A U_1)^* + F_{A_1} U_2 F_{A_2} + (F_{A_1} U_2 F_{A_2})^*,$$

where X_0 is a special solution of (1.1), and $U_1, U_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are arbitrary.

The following results are related to ranks and inertias of some Hermitian matrix expressions.

Lemma 1.7 ([11,13]). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_H^m$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be given. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}} r[A - BX - (BX)^*] &= \min\{m, r(P)\}, \\ \min_{X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}} r[A - BX - (BX)^*] &= r(P) - 2r(B), \\ \max_{X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}} i_{\pm}[A - BX - (BX)^*] &= i_{\pm}(P), \\ \min_{X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}} i_{\pm}[A - BX - (BX)^*] &= i_{\pm}(P) - r(B), \end{aligned}$$

where $P = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Lemma 1.8 ([14]). Let $p(X) = A - CXD - (CXD)^*$, where $A \in \mathbb{C}_H^m$, $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$, $D \in \mathbb{C}^{q \times m}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{X \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}} r[p(X)] &= \min\{r(A, C, D^*), r(M_1), r(M_2)\}, \\ \min_{X \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}} r[p(X)] &= 2r(A, C, D^*) + \max\{r(M_1) - 2r(N_1), r(M_2) - 2r(N_2), s_+ + t_-, s_- + t_+\}, \\ \max_{X \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}} i_{\pm}[p(X)] &= \min\{i_{\pm}(M_1), i_{\pm}(M_2)\}, \\ \min_{X \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}} i_{\pm}[p(X)] &= r(A, C, D^*) + \max\{s_{\pm}, t_{\pm}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ \mathbb{C}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & C & D^* \\ \mathbb{C}^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A & D^* \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A & C & D^* \\ D & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $s_{\pm} = i_{\pm}(M_1) - r(N_1)$, $t_{\pm} = i_{\pm}(M_2) - r(N_2)$.

2. Ranks and inertias of $P - X$ subject to common Hermitian least squares solutions of $A_1XA_1^* = B_1$ and $A_2XA_2^* = B_2$

It is well known that the least squares solutions of matrix equation is the solution of its normal equation. Therefore, the common Hermitian least squares solutions of (1.1) is the common Hermitian solutions of matrix equations

$$A_1^*A_1XA_1^*A_1 = A_1^*B_1A_1, \quad A_2^*A_2XA_2^*A_2 = A_2^*B_2A_2. \quad (2.1)$$

By Lemma 1.6, we can achieve the necessary and sufficient conditions of (1.1) having common Hermitian least squares solutions and the parametric form for the general common Hermitian least squares solutions.

Lemma 2.1. The pair of matrix equations of (1.1) have a common Hermitian least squares solutions if and only if

$$\mathcal{R}(A_j^*B_jA_j) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A_j^*A_j) \quad \text{and} \quad r \begin{pmatrix} A_1^*B_1A_1 & 0 & A_1^*A_1 \\ 0 & -A_2^*B_2A_2 & A_2^*A_2 \\ A_1^*A_1 & A_2^*A_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2r(A), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.2)$$

where $A^* = (A_1^*A_1, A_2^*A_2)$. In this case, the general common Hermitian least squares solutions of (1.1) can be written in the following parametric form

$$X = X_0 + F_A U_1 + F_A U_1^* + F_{A_1} U_2 F_{A_2} + (F_{A_1} U_2 F_{A_2})^*, \quad (2.3)$$

where X_0 is a special common Hermitian solution of (2.1), and $U_1, U_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are arbitrary.

Proof. Because common Hermitian least squares solutions to (1.1) is the common Hermitian solutions of matrix equation (2.1), then (2.2) follows from Lemma 1.6. In addition, the parametric form of general common Hermitian least squares solutions of (1.1) is achieved as follow

$$X = X_0 + F_A U_1 + (F_A U_1)^* + F_{A_1^* A_1} U_2 F_{A_2^* A_2} + (F_{A_1^* A_1} U_2 F_{A_2^* A_2})^*,$$

where X_0 is a special solution of matrix equation (2.1), and $U_1, U_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are arbitrary. Notice that

$$F_{A_1^* A_1} = I - (A_1^* A_1)^\dagger (A_1^* A_1) = I - A_1^\dagger (A_1^\dagger)^* A_1^* A_1 = I - A_1^\dagger A_1 = F_{A_1}.$$

Therefore, (2.3) is established. \square

For convenience of representation, the following notation for the collection of all common Hermitian least squares solutions of (1.1) is adopted

$$S = \{X \in \mathbb{C}_H^n \mid A_1^* A_1 X A_1^* A_1 = A_1^* B_1 A_1, A_2^* A_2 X A_2^* A_2 = A_2^* B_2 A_2\}. \quad (2.4)$$

We also need the following preliminary result.

Lemma 2.2. Let

$$P(X, Y) = A - BX - (BX)^* - CYD - (CYD)^*, \quad (2.5)$$

where $A \in \mathbb{C}_H^m$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$ and $D \in \mathbb{C}^{q \times m}$ are given, and $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$ are variable matrices. Also let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D^* \\ B^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{C}^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C \\ B^* & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{C}^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & D^* \\ B^* & 0 & 0 \\ D & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D^* \\ B^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{C}^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C & D^* \\ B^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ D & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\max_{X, Y} r[P(X, Y)] = \min\{m, r(M), r(M_1), r(M_2)\}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\min_{X, Y} r[P(X, Y)] = 2r(M) - 2r(B) + \max\{s_+ + s_-, s_- + t_+, s_+ + t_-, t_+ + t_-\}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\max_{X,Y} i_{\pm}[P(X, Y)] = \min\{i_{\pm}(M_1), i_{\pm}(M_2)\}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\min_{X,Y} i_{\pm}[P(X, Y)] = r(M) - r(B) + \max\{s_{\pm}, t_{\pm}\}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $s_{\pm} = i_{\pm}(M_1) - r(N_1)$ and $t_{\pm} = i_{\pm}(M_2) - r(N_2)$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 1.7 to variable matrix X in (2.5) and simplifying, we obtain

$$\max_X r[P(X, Y)] = \min \left\{ m, r \begin{pmatrix} A - CYD - (CYD)^* & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\min_X r[P(X, Y)] = r \begin{pmatrix} A - CYD - (CYD)^* & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} - 2r(B), \quad (2.11)$$

$$\max_X i_{\pm}[P(X, Y)] = i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} A - CYD - (CYD)^* & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\min_X i_{\pm}[P(X, Y)] = i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} A - CYD - (CYD)^* & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} - r(B). \quad (2.13)$$

Let

$$q(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} A - CYD - (CYD)^* & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$q(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} C \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} Y(D, 0) - \left[\begin{pmatrix} C \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} Y(D, 0) \right]^*. \quad (2.14)$$

Applying Lemma 1.8 to (2.14) gives

$$\max_Y r[q(Y)] = \min\{r(M), r(M_1), r(M_2)\}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\min_Y r[q(Y)] = 2r(M) + \max\{s_+ + s_-, t_+ + t_-, s_+ + t_-, s_- + t_+\}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\max_Y i_{\pm}[q(Y)] = \min\{i_{\pm}(M_1), i_{\pm}(M_2)\}, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\min_Y i_{\pm}[q(Y)] = r(M) + \max\{s_{\pm}, t_{\pm}\}, \quad (2.18)$$

where $s_{\pm} = i_{\pm}(M_1) - r(N_1)$, $t_{\pm} = i_{\pm}(M_2) - r(N_2)$. Substituting (2.15)–(2.18) into (2.10)–(2.13) yields (2.6)–(2.9). \square

Theorem 2.3. Let $A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{m_j \times n}$, $B_j \in \mathbb{C}_H^{m_j}$, $j = 1, 2$ and $P \in \mathbb{C}_H^n$ be given, and assume that (1.1) have a common Hermitian least squares solutions and S is as given in (2.4). Also, let

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^* A_1 & A_1^* A_1 P A_1^* A_1 - A_1^* B_1 A_1 & 0 \\ A_2^* A_2 & 0 & A_2^* B_2 A_2 - A_2^* A_2 P A_2^* A_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^* A_1 & A_1^* B_1 A_1 - A_1^* A P A_1^* A_1 \\ A_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ A_2^* A_2 & A_2^* B_2 A_2 - A_2^* A_2 P A_2^* A_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T_4 = A_1^* B_1 A_1 - A_1^* A_1 P A_1^* A_1, \quad T_5 = A_2^* B_2 A_2 - A_2^* A_2 P A_2^* A_2.$$

Then,

$$\max_{X \in S} r(P - X) = \min\{n, c_1, c_2, c_3\}, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\min_{X \in S} r(P - X) = 2r(T_1) + \max\{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\max_{X \in S} i_{\pm}(P - X) = \min\{n + i_{\mp}(T_4) - r(A_1), n + i_{\mp}(T_5) - r(A_2)\}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\min_{X \in S} i_{\pm}(P - X) = r(T_1) + \max\{i_{\mp}(T_4) - r(T_2), i_{\mp}(T_5) - r(T_3)\}, \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}c_1 &= 2n + r(T_1) - r(A) - r(A_1) - r(A_2), & c_2 &= 2n + r(T_4) - 2r(A_1), \\c_3 &= 2n + r(T_5) - 2r(A_2), & s_1 &= r(T_4) - 2r(T_2), & s_2 &= r(T_5) - 2r(T_3), \\s_3 &= i_-(T_4) + i_+(T_5) - r(T_2) - r(T_3), & s_4 &= i_+(T_4) + i_-(T_5) - r(T_2) - r(T_3).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.3), the difference $P - X$ can be expressed as

$$P - X = P - X_0 - F_A U_1 - (F_A U_1)^* - F_{A_1} U_2 F_{A_2} - (F_{A_1} U_2 F_{A_2})^*. \quad (2.23)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}L &= \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_A & F_{A_1} & F_{A_2} \\ F_A & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\G_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_A & F_{A_1} \\ F_A & 0 & 0 \\ F_{A_1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & G_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_A & F_{A_2} \\ F_A & 0 & 0 \\ F_{A_2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\L_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_A & F_{A_1} & F_{A_2} \\ F_A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F_{A_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & L_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_A & F_{A_1} & F_{A_2} \\ F_A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F_{A_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 to (2.23) yields

$$\max_{X \in S} r(P - X) = \min\{n, r(L), r(G_1), r(G_2)\}, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\min_{X \in S} r(P - X) = 2r(L) + \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\} - 2r(F_A), \quad (2.25)$$

$$\max_{X \in S} i_{\pm}(P - X) = \min\{i_{\pm}(G_1), i_{\pm}(G_2)\}, \quad (2.26)$$

$$\min_{X \in S} i_{\pm}(P - X) = r(L) + \max\{i_{\pm}(G_1) - r(L_1), i_{\pm}(G_2) - r(L_2)\} - r(F_A), \quad (2.27)$$

where

$$t_1 = r(G_1) - 2r(L_1), \quad t_2 = r(G_2) - 2r(L_2), \quad (2.28)$$

$$t_3 = i_+(G_1) + i_-(G_2) - r(L_1) - r(L_2), \quad (2.29)$$

$$t_4 = i_-(G_1) + i_+(G_2) - r(L_1) - r(L_2). \quad (2.30)$$

We will simplify $r(L)$, $r(L_1)$, $r(L_2)$, $i_{\pm}(G_1)$, $i_{\pm}(G_2)$ by the three types of elementary block matrix operations, elementary block congruence matrix operations and, Lemmas 1.1 and 1.4. It is easy to show that $\mathcal{R}(F_A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(F_{A_1})$, $\mathcal{R}(F_A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(F_{A_2})$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}r(L) &= r \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_A & F_{A_1} & F_{A_2} \\ F_A & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_A & F_{A_1} & F_{A_2} \\ F_A & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\&= r \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & I_n & I_n & 0 \\ I_n & 0 & 0 & A^* \\ 0 & A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - r(A) - r(A_1) - r(A_2) \\&= 2n + r \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ A_2 & A_2(X_0 - P)A^* \end{pmatrix} - r(A) - r(A_1) - r(A_2) \\&= 2n + r \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ A_2 & A_2(X_0 - P)A_1^*A_1 & A_2(X_0 - P)A_2^*A_2 \end{pmatrix} - r(A) - r(A_1) - r(A_2) \\&= 2n + r \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & -A_1(X_0 - P)A_1^*A_1 & 0 \\ A_2 & 0 & A_2(X_0 - P)A_2^*A_2 \end{pmatrix} - r(A) - r(A_1) - r(A_2) \\&= 2n + r(T_1) - r(A) - r(A_1) - r(A_2),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r(L_1) &= r \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_A & F_{A_1} & F_{A_2} \\ F_A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F_{A_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_{A_1} & F_{A_2} \\ F_{A_1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= r \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & I_n & I_n & 0 \\ I_n & 0 & 0 & A_1^* \\ 0 & A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - 2r(A_1) - r(A_2) \\
&= 2n + r \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & -A_1(X_0 - P)A_1^* \\ A_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - 2r(A_1) - r(A_2) \\
&= 2n + r \begin{pmatrix} A_1^*A_1 & A_1^*A_1(X_0 - P)A_1^*A_1 \\ A_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - 2r(A_1) - r(A_2) = 2n + r(T_2) - 2r(A_1) - r(A_2), \\
r(L_2) &= r \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_A & F_{A_1} & F_{A_2} \\ F_A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ F_{A_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = r \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_{A_1} & F_{A_2} \\ F_{A_2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= r \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & I_n & I_n & 0 \\ I_n & 0 & 0 & A_2^* \\ 0 & A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - r(A_1) - 2r(A_2) \\
&= 2n + r \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ A_2 & A_2(X_0 - P)A_2^* \end{pmatrix} - r(A_1) - 2r(A_2) \\
&= 2n + r \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ A_2^*A_2 & A_2^*A_2(X_0 - P)A_2^*A_2 \end{pmatrix} - r(A_1) - 2r(A_2) = 2n + r(T_3) - r(A_1) - 2r(A_2), \\
i_{\pm}(G_1) &= i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_A & F_{A_1} \\ F_A & 0 & 0 \\ F_{A_1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_{A_1} \\ F_{A_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & I_n & 0 \\ I_n & 0 & A_1^* \\ 0 & A_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - r(A_1) \\
&= i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n & -\frac{1}{2}(P - X_0)A_1^* \\ I_n & 0 & A_1^* \\ -\frac{1}{2}A_1(P - X_0) & A_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - r(A_1) \\
&= i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n & 0 \\ I_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_1(P - X_0)A_1^* \end{pmatrix} - r(A_1) \\
&= n + i_{\pm}(A_1^*A_1(P - X_0)A_1^*A_1) - r(A_1) \\
&= n + i_{\pm}(A_1^*A_1PA_1^*A_1 - A_1^*B_1A_1) - r(A_1) = n + i_{\mp}(T_4) - r(A_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$i_{\pm}(G_2) = i_{\pm} \begin{pmatrix} P - X_0 & F_A & F_{A_2} \\ F_A & 0 & 0 \\ F_{A_2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = n + i_{\pm}(A_2^*A_2PA_2^*A_2 - A_2^*B_2A_2) - r(A_2) = n + i_{\mp}(T_5) - r(A_2).$$

Therefore, we have

$$r(G_1) = i_+(G_1) + i_-(G_1) = 2n + r(T_4) - 2r(A_1), \quad r(G_2) = i_+(G_2) + i_-(G_2) = 2n + r(T_5) - 2r(A_2).$$

Substituting the above results into (2.28)–(2.30), we obtain

$$t_1 = r(T_4) - 2r(T_2) + 2r(A_1) + 2r(A_2) - 2n, \quad (2.31)$$

$$t_2 = r(T_5) - 2r(T_3) + 2r(A_1) + 2r(A_2) - 2n, \quad (2.32)$$

$$t_3 = i_-(T_4) + i_+(T_5) + 2r(A_1) + 2r(A_2) - r(T_2) - r(T_3) - 2n, \quad (2.33)$$

$$t_4 = i_+(T_4) + i_-(T_5) + 2r(A_1) + 2r(A_2) - r(T_2) - r(T_3) - 2n. \quad (2.34)$$

Substituting (2.31)–(2.34) into (2.24)–(2.27) yields (2.19)–(2.22). \square

If $P = 0$ in (2.19)–(2.22), then the maximal and minimal ranks and inertias of the common Hermitian least squares solutions to (1.1) are given as follows.

Corollary 2.4. Let $A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{m_j \times n}$, $B_j \in \mathbb{C}_H^{m_j}$, $j = 1, 2$ be given, and assume that (1.1) have common Hermitian least squares solutions. Also, define

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^*A_1 & A_1^*B_1A_1 & 0 \\ A_2^*A_2 & 0 & A_2^*B_2A_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^*A_1 & A_1^*B_1A_1 \\ A_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_3 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ A_2^*A_2 & A_2^*B_2A_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\max_{X \in S} r(X) = \min\{n, d_1, d_2, d_3\}$$

$$\min_{X \in S} r(X) = 2r(H_1) + \max\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\},$$

$$\max_{X \in S} i_{\pm}(X) = \min\{n + i_{\pm}(A_1^* B_1 A_1) - r(A_1), n + i_{\pm}(A_2^* B_2 A_2) - r(A_2)\},$$

$$\min_{X \in S} i_{\pm}(X) = r(H_1) + \max\{i_{\pm}(A_1^* B_1 A_1) - r(H_2), i_{\pm}(A_2^* B_2 A_2) - r(H_3)\},$$

where

$$d_1 = 2n + r(H_1) - r(A) - r(A_1) - r(A_2),$$

$$d_2 = 2n + r(A_1^* B_1 A_1) - 2r(A_1), d_3 = 2n + r(A_2^* B_2 A_2) - 2r(A_2),$$

$$v_1 = r(A_1^* B_1 A_1) - 2r(H_2), v_2 = r(A_2^* B_2 A_2) - 2r(H_3),$$

$$v_3 = i_{-}(A_1^* B_1 A_1) + i_{+}(A_2^* B_2 A_2) - r(H_2) - r(H_3),$$

$$v_4 = i_{+}(A_1^* B_1 A_1) + i_{-}(A_2^* B_2 A_2) - r(H_2) - r(H_3).$$

3. Definite common Hermitian least squares solutions of $A_1 X A_1^* = B_1$ and $A_2 X A_2^* = B_2$

Applying Lemma 1.2 to (2.19)–(2.22), we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the common Hermitian least squares solutions of (1.1) such that $X > P$ ($\geq P$, $< P$, $\leq P$).

Theorem 3.1. Assume that (1.1) have a common Hermitian least squares solution, and all signs are stated as in Theorem 2.3. Then

(a) Eq. (1.1) have a common Hermitian least squares solution $X > P$ if and only if

$$i_{+}(T_4) = r(A_1), \quad i_{+}(T_5) = r(A_2). \quad (3.1)$$

(b) Eq. (1.1) have a common Hermitian least squares solution $X < P$ if and only if

$$i_{-}(T_4) = r(A_1), \quad i_{-}(T_5) = r(A_2). \quad (3.2)$$

(c) Eq. (1.1) have a common Hermitian least squares solution $X \geq P$ if and only if

$$r(T_1) = r(T_2) = r(T_3), \quad T_4 \geq 0, \quad T_5 \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

(d) Eq. (1.1) have a common Hermitian least squares solution $X \leq P$ if and only if

$$r(T_1) = r(T_2) = r(T_3), \quad T_4 \leq 0, \quad T_5 \leq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

(e) There exist nonsingular matrix $P - X$ such that X is common Hermitian least squares solution to (1.1) if and only if

$$n + r(T_1) \geq r(A) + r(A_1) + r(A_2), \quad n + r(T_4) \geq 2r(A_1) \quad \text{and} \quad n + r(T_5) \geq 2r(A_2). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. We will only prove (a), (c) and (e), since (b) and (d) are similar with (a) and (c), respectively. Applying (f) in Lemma 1.2, Eq. (1.1) have a common least squares solution $X > P$ if and only if

$$\max_{X \in S} i_{+}(X - P) = n. \quad (3.6)$$

By Lemma 1.3, (3.6) is equivalent with

$$\max_{X \in S} i_{-}(P - X) = n. \quad (3.7)$$

Substituting (2.21) into (3.7) yields

$$i_{+}(T_4) = r(A_1), \quad i_{+}(T_5) \geq r(A_2) \quad \text{or} \quad i_{+}(T_5) = r(A_2), \quad i_{+}(T_4) \geq r(A_1). \quad (3.8)$$

For $T_4 = A_1^* B_1 A_1 - A_1^* A_1 P A_1^* A_1$, $T_5 = A_2^* B_2 A_2 - A_2^* A_2 P A_2^* A_2$, we have

$$i_{+}(T_4) \leq r(T_4) \leq r(A_1), \quad i_{+}(T_5) \leq r(T_5) \leq r(A_2).$$

Therefore, (3.8) is equivalent with

$$i_{+}(T_4) = r(A_1), \quad i_{+}(T_5) = r(A_2).$$

Thus (3.1) is obtained.

Similarly, Applying (h) in Lemma 1.2, then Eq. (1.1) have a common least squares solution $X \geq P$ if and only if

$$\min_{X \in S} i_-(X - P) = 0 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \min_{X \in S} i_+(P - X) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Substituting (2.22) into (3.9) yields

$$r(T_1) + i_-(T_4) = r(T_2), \quad r(T_1) + i_-(T_5) \leq r(T_3) \quad (3.10)$$

or

$$r(T_1) + i_-(T_5) = r(T_3), \quad r(T_1) + i_-(T_4) \leq r(T_2). \quad (3.11)$$

Note that $r(T_1) \geq r(T_2)$, $r(T_1) + i_-(T_4) = r(T_2)$, so we have

$$r(T_1) = r(T_2), \quad i_-(T_4) = 0.$$

Also note that $r(T_1) \geq r(T_3)$, $r(T_1) + i_-(T_5) \leq r(T_3)$, so we obtain

$$r(T_1) = r(T_3), \quad i_-(T_5) = 0$$

Therefore, (3.10) is equivalent with

$$r(T_1) = r(T_2) = r(T_3), \quad i_-(T_4) = 0, \quad i_-(T_5) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

By the same method, (3.11) is also equivalent with (3.12). Then (3.3) holds.

By (a) in Lemma 1.2, there exist nonsingular matrix $P - X$ such that X is common Hermitian least squares solution to (1.1) if and only if

$$\max_{X \in S} r(P - X) = n. \quad (3.13)$$

Substituting (2.19) into (3.13) yields

$$\min\{n, c_1, c_2, c_3\} = n, \quad (3.14)$$

so (3.14) is equivalent with

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= 2n + r(T_1) - r(A) - r(A_1) - r(A_2) \geq n, \\ c_2 &= 2n + r(T_4) - 2r(A_1) \geq n, \quad \text{and} \quad c_3 = 2n + r(T_5) - 2r(A_2) \geq n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (3.5) holds. \square

Accordingly, we can achieve equivalent conditions for the existence of common Hermitian positive (negative, nonpositive, nonnegative) definite least squares solutions to (1.1).

Corollary 3.2. Assume that (1.1) have a common Hermitian least squares solution, and all signs are stated as in Corollary 2.4. Then

(a) Eq. (1.1) have a common positive definite Hermitian least squares solution if and only if

$$i_+(A_1^* B_1 A_1) = r(A_1), \quad i_+(A_2^* B_2 A_2) = r(A_2).$$

(b) Eq. (1.1) have a common negative definite Hermitian least squares solution if and only if

$$i_-(A_1^* B_1 A_1) = r(A_1), \quad i_-(A_2^* B_2 A_2) = r(A_2).$$

(c) Eq. (1.1) have a common nonnegative definite Hermitian least squares solution if and only if

$$r(H_1) = r(H_2) = r(H_3), \quad A_1^* B_1 A_1 \geq 0, \quad A_2^* B_2 A_2 \geq 0.$$

(d) Eq. (1.1) have a common nonpositive definite Hermitian least squares solution if and only if

$$r(H_1) = r(H_2) = r(H_3), \quad A_1^* B_1 A_1 \leq 0, \quad A_2^* B_2 A_2 \leq 0.$$

(e) There exist nonsingular common Hermitian least squares solution to (1.1) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} n + r(H_1) &\geq r(A) + r(A_1) + r(A_2), \\ n + r(A_1^* B_1 A_1) &\geq 2r(A_1) \quad \text{and} \quad n + r(A_2^* B_2 A_2) \geq 2r(A_2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $P = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.2 is achieved. \square

4. Conclusions

In the previous sections, we have presented some closed-form formulas for calculating maximal and minimal ranks and inertias of $P - X$ with respect to X , where $P \in \mathbb{C}_H^n$ is given, X is common Hermitian least squares solutions to matrix equations $A_1 X A_1^* = B_1$ and $A_2 X A_2^* = B_2$. From this results, we derived necessary and sufficient conditions for $X > (\geq, <, \leq) P$ in the Löwner partial ordering. In particular, we obtained the maximal and minimal ranks and inertias of common Hermitian least squares solutions of (1.1) and identifying conditions for the existence of definite common Hermitian least squares solutions to (1.1).

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to Professor Yongge Tian for his help and guidance. We also thank anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and constructive comments on an earlier version of this paper.

References

- [1] G. Marsaglia, G.P.H. Styan, Equalities and inequalities for ranks of matrices, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 2 (1974) 269–292.
- [2] Y. Tian, Equalities and inequalities for inertias of Hermitian matrices with applications, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 433 (2010) 263–296.
- [3] J.K. Baksalary, Nonnegative definite and positive definite solutions to the matrix equation $AXA^* = B$, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 16 (1984) 133–139.
- [4] H. Dai, P. Lancaster, Linear matrix equations from an inverse problem of vibration theory, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 246 (1996) 31–47.
- [5] J. Groß, A note on the general Hermitian solution to $AXA^* = B$, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. (Second Series)* 21 (1998) 57–62.
- [6] J. Groß, Nonnegative-definite and positive-definite solution to the matrix equation $AXA^* = B$ revisited, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 321 (2000) 123–129.
- [7] C.G. Khatri, S.K. Mitra, Hermitian and nonnegative definite solutions of linear matrix equations, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 31 (1976) 579–585.
- [8] Y. Liu, Y. Tian, Y. Takane, Ranks of Hermitian and skew-Hermitian solutions to the matrix equation $AXA^* = B$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 431 (2009) 2359–2372.
- [9] M. Wei, Q. Wang, On rank-constrained Hermitian nonnegative-definite least squares solutions to the matrix equation $AXA^* = B$, *Int. J. Comput. Math.* 84 (2007) 945–952.
- [10] X. Zhang, M. Cheng, The rank-constrained Hermitian nonnegative-definite and positive-definite solutions to the matrix equation $AXA^* = B$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 370 (2003) 163–174.
- [11] Y. Tian, Maximization and minimization of the rank and inertia of the Hermitian matrix expression $A - BX - (BX)^*$ with applications, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 434 (2011) 2109–2139.
- [12] X. Zhang, The general common Hermitian nonnegative definite solution to the matrix equations $AXA^* = BB^*$ and $CXC^* = DD^*$ with applications in statistic, *J. Multivariate Anal.* 93 (2005) 257–266.
- [13] Y. Tian, Y. Liu, Extremal ranks of some symmetric matrix expressions with applications, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 28 (2006) 890–905.
- [14] Y. Liu, Y. Tian, Max-min problems on the ranks and inertias of the matrix expressions $A - BXC \pm (BXC)^*$ with applications, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 148 (2011) 593–622.